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## Mission

E3 Alliance uses objective data and focused community collaboration to align our education systems so all students succeed and lead Central Texas to economic prosperity


| 2\% Eanes |
| :--- |
| $10 \%$ Dripping Springs |
| $12 \%$ Lake Travis |
| $19 \%$ Leander |
| $24 \%$ Wimberley |
| $25 \%$ Liberty Hill |
| $26 \%$ Lago Vista |
| $26 \%$ Round Rock |
| $30 \%$ Thrall |
| $34 \%$ Johnson City |
| $36 \%$ Coupland |
| $42 \%$ Hutto |
| $43 \%$ Georgetown |
| $48 \%$ Hays |
| $48 \%$ Pflugerville |
| $49 \%$ Blanco |
| $53 \%$ Austin |
| $56 \%$ Jarrell |
| $59 \%$ Granger |
| $63 \%$ Smithville |
| $63 \%$ McDade |
| $64 \%$ Taylor |
| $64 \%$ Bastrop |
| $65 \%$ Florence |
| $68 \%$ Lockhart |
| $71 \%$ San Marcos |
| $72 \%$ Luling |
| $74 \%$ Elgin |
| $76 \%$ Prairie Lea |
| $77 \%$ Manor |
| $87 \%$ Del Valle |
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Postsecondary Completion Rates

## 60x30TX Objective

Postsecondary Completion Rates

## 60x30TX Objective

Texas Young Adults with Postsecondary Credential
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Postsecondary Completion Rates

60x30TX Objective


Texas Young Adults with Postsecondary Credential


Central Texas 6 Year Completion Rate

## We have to do something radically different in the pipeline!

30\%
$10 \% \quad 20 \%$
0\% 10\%
Objective: 60\% of Young Adults, Ages 25-34, Have Postsecondary Credential Sources: Objective, TX Young Adult IHE credential: THECB report, Texas: 2008-12 American Community Survey data; Central Texas 6 Yr completion rate: E3 Alliance analysis at UT Austin ERC.

## Pathways of Promise: Recommendations for Strengthening \& Deeneninn Fonllene of farper Pathwavs in Tekas
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## 分

Outcomes of Students in HS for 4 Years, Texas 2006 First Time $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort



Outcomes of Students in HS for 4 Years by Highest Math and Income
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Outcomes of Students in HS for 4 Years by Highest Math and Income

|  | Status，Texas 200 <br> Highest High School Math <br> —AP，IB or Dual Credit LI <br> —PreCalculus LI <br> $\therefore$ Algebra II LI <br> －．．Algebra II NLI |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Graduate High Enroll in Hig School <br> Fd in |

LI＝Low Income
NLI＝Non－low Income Status，Texas 2006 First Time $\mathbf{9}^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort

Persist in Higher Ed 2nd Yr

Complete Higher Major in STEM or Ed in 6 Yrs Healthcare
谟 $\square$来米 N 来


Outcomes of Students in HS for 4 Years by Highest Math and Income
$100 \%$
AP，IB or Dual Credit LI

LI＝Low Income
NLI＝Non－low Income Status，Texas 2006 First Time $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort

How Do We Get Students to Take More Math?


## 

Limited to students who took $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade STAAR Math and were enrolled across middle school

| Demographic | Texas | Central Texas |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Count | 342 K | 22 K |
| Iow Income | $63 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Asian | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Black | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $52 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| White | $30 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| English Language Learner | $27 \%$ | $24 \%$ |



- $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort students
- During middle school:
- Sat through at least one semester of Algebra 1

OR

- Took high school math course beyond Algebra 1

OR

- Took Algebra 1 End of Course exam
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Percentage of Central Texas Students in $20125^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Enrolled in Algebra 1 by 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade


Algebra I taken in Middle School in Central Texas:

- 93\% Pass both semesters of the course
- 98\% Approach Grade Level Standard
- Standard students in cohort were held to
- 84\% Meet Grade Level Standard
- Standard students are held to now
- 58\% Master Grade Level Standard!
- College and Career Ready Standard

Sometimes equity gaps exist because of concerns that not all student can be successful...
$>$ But the data shows this isn't the case

Quantitative Longitudinal Analysis


## 

On track for CCR

|  | Çuintile 1 | Quintile 2 | Quintile 3 | Quintile 4 | Quintile 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent range | 0\% - 18\% | 18\%-39\% | 39\%-58\% | 58\%-77\% | 77\%-100\% |
| Scaled Score range | > 1700 | $\begin{aligned} & >1600 \text { and } \\ & <=1700 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} >=1542 \text { and } \\ <=1600 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >=1475 \text { and }< \\ 1542 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { < } 1475 \text { or } \\ & \text { STAAR M } \end{aligned}$ |
| Grade <br> Level Score Meaning | Masters | Meets/ Approaches | Approaches | Approachesl Did Not Meet | Did Not Meet or STAAR M |
| \% Low <br> Income <br> Texas |  | 54\% | 64\% | 72\% | 80\% |





$\square$ Non-low Income ■ Low Income


Percentage of 2012 Texas $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Enrolled in Algebra 1 by $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Quintile on $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade STAAR Math


## Algebra 1 Timing




## Groups based on the timing of "successful" completion of Algebra 1

Accelerated $=$ completed Algebra 1 before $9^{\text {th }}$ grade
On Track = completed Algebra 1 in $9^{\text {th }}$ grade
Behind $=$ enrolled in Algebra 1 after $9^{\text {th }}$ grade


Limited to students enrolled $9^{\text {th }} \& 10^{\text {th }}$ grade with math in $10^{\text {th }}$

| Demographic | Texas | Central Texas |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Student Count | 325 K | 20.5 K |
| Low Income | $68 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Asian | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Black | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $50 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| White | $32 \%$ | $40 \%$ |

## Algebra 1 Timing





Timing of Successfully Completing Algebra I 2013 9th Grade Cohort TARGET 40\%


## 

Timing of Successfully Completing Algebra I 2013 9th Grade Cohort, Central Texas



Timing of Successfully Completing Algebra I 2013 9th Grade Cohort, Central Texas


Outcomes by Algebra 1 Timing





Algebra 1 EOC Performance Standards


Percentage of Students Achieving Algebra EOC Standard by Algebra I Timing 2013 9th Grade Cohort, Central Texas



Percentage of Students at Meets Grade Level Standard on Algebra 1 EOC


- On Track


Percentage of Students at Meets Grade Level Standard on Algebra 1 EOC

$\square$ Accelerated


Percentage of Students at Meets Grade Level Standard on Algebra 1 EOC


Outcomes by Algebra 1 Timing



Percent of Central Texas Students Taking College Level Math Coursework 2013 9th $^{\text {th }}$ Grade Cohort Enrolled in High School for 4 Years



\% of Students Taking College Level Math Coursework by Algebra 1 Timing
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## LEANDER

ISD


LOCKHART ISD


EANES ISD
AUSTIN，TEXAS


Center for STEM Education


- District-Wide Acceleration Policies: Automatic enrollment for well prepared transitioning into middle school
- "Opt out" policy for Top 40\% of academically prepared
- Implement standardized process to identify students likely to be successful in more rigorous math pathway
- Push student selection process down into $5^{\text {th }}$ grade
- All other families notified of open enrollment; "opt in" policy
- Early Math Focus: Intentional supports in PK-3 to prepare for acceleration
－Systemic Approach：Coordination across grade levels to guide students into rigorous math
－Planning across grades to increase \％taking accelerated and Advanced Math
－Enact＂open enrollment＂policies in Advanced Math in HS
－Supports：Redesign math curriculum \＆build bridge programs to support student entry into accelerated math
－Measure Success：Utilize data－driven approach to measure ＂success＂of acceleration
－\％8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ graders in accelerated pathway
－\％accelerated reaching CCR standards on Algebra I EOC
－\％taking college level（AP／IB／Dual）math course in HS © 2018 E3 alliance $^{64}$


## 

- More Time for Math: 90 minutes+ in ES
- Innovative Scheduling: Use tutorial time (ES) or double-block instruction (MS) for time to support accelerated cohort
- Build Capacity of Math Team
- Hire workforce of "Highly Qualified" math teachers
- Professional Development focused on 1) content expertise and 2) pedagogy to develop strategies for helping students access content "where they are"
- Incorporate capacity-building strategies to leverage Professional Learning Communities


## 

- Advising to Support Acceleration
- Target " opt into" advising efforts in $5^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Identify wrap-around supports for students when course gets "tough"
- Messaging to students \& families
- Algebra 2 + more math
- 4 years of math in 4 years of high school
- Campus-based plan for students not college and career ready by $12^{\text {th }}$ grade
- Math College Prep Course or Algebra 2


## 

Recommendations for Elementary Scho For Building Strong Math Pathways

The Goal
vision of Success

- steady and signiticant in Stercment and prepare accelerated math pare in aco
start in eank brong matrematict teach as possible to implement 5 repare as many studen by 6 g grade. enter accelerate
Why it Matters mathematics performance in eand resd diness and strong pre Even our BEST Pefforming Black, Hispanic. income $5^{5}$ graders are under accelerated math pathways. sudents wha do not tuke Alge brat live 1 math in hish far less likelv to enroll in callege oollege success.
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## Recommendations for Middle S

## For Building Strong Math Pathv

## Recommendations for 4 .

For Building Strong for High Schools
The Goal
Eliminate existing equity gaps in middle school math acceleration while ensuring strong math pathways for all through high school anc beyond.

## Why It Matters

The $8^{\text {f }}$ grade equity gap across Texas is wide fewer than half as many low-income enroll in Algebra I bythe end of $8^{\text {th }}$ grade, compared to their non-low-income peers ( $18 \%$ versus $40 \%$ )

There tas been ro change in the income or ethnicity gap for students taking Algebra I by $8^{\text {bi }}$ grade over the past 5 years - only with intentional focus and strategies will we address this gap.

Students who do not take Algebra l by $8^{\text {th }}$ grade are far less likely to enroll in college level math in high school-a strong predictor of college success.

## What To Measure

- \% of $8^{\text {th }}$ graders enrolled in Algebra lor
higher, by student group (income, ethnicity)
- Maf graders achieving Meets and Course assesment, by student (income $\&$ ethnicity
- Measures ofacad
- Measures of academic growth in mathematics show positive gairs for targeted populations in grades 6-8, leading
to a decline in equity gaps

mproving Equity and Driving Degree


## $\substack{\text { Visison } \\ \text { Sur } \\ \text { are }} \quad$ The Goal

## for everyal

career ready in mathe graduate college and
earning a postsecondary credend on track for

## Why It Matters

## Students who do mer

Nit less likely to enrall igebra I by $8^{\text {nh }}$ grade Thigh school - a strong in colle ge level math ccess.
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## What To Measure <br> - \% of gin

Masters standard on the Meet and Course assessment, by studebra I End of

- (income \& ethnicity) by student group
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Vision of Success

- Steady and sigerificant increare yef high school taking advanced matheratices in students college level mathathematics, including:
International Baccal Advanced Placement
- Equitable distribution oate, or dual credit) minority students repof low-income and
- Cuthematics presented in advancec

How Do We Get There?
Advocate for a systemic appro
aning across grade levelsoach and ad support more students to encourage vanced mathematics its to take $0{ }^{\text {training }}$
staff , clearyy to stuc equivalents Algebra ll or equally families and ritalts, plus more acivany rigorous
0 Advise ill postsecondaryconced math, is high sch students to take 4 mpletion possible an, traking the most vears math in Careera and aligning course selectied math
0 Implement dions sections with enplement distric
Invest in, a in advanced mathema "open" content expertise alt policies, that build
quality math instrand pedagogy in big
$\triangle$ Develop a campus-bion
who are not college ready plan for students

## 

Data Dashboard: Tool to Support District and Campus Equity Dialog

| Dashboard to Determine Equitable Acceleration Practices by 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Grade |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



Terrence Eaton, Ph.D.
Associate Superintendent for Middle Schools, Austin ISD

## Mark Estrada

Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum \& Instruction, Lockhart ISD

## Jason Hewitt, Ed.D.

Chief Academic Officer for Secondary Schools, Bastrop ISD


# time for 

Satat


## Share the Data and Make an Action Plan

- Retrieve Report \& Recording Here: http://e3alliance.org/high-school-college-and-careersuccess/
- Convene your network => Recording => Dashboard =>Next Steps

© 2018 E3 Alliance
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## LOCKHART



## Thank You!

## Amy Wiseman, Ph.D.

 awiseman@e3alliance.org
## 512-223-7249

## Christine Bailie, M.P.Aff. cbailie@e3alliance.org 512-223-7251

The conclusions of this research do not necessarily reflect the opinions or official position of the Texas Education Agency, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, or the State of Texas.


